

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,508	04/06/2000	Phil Wyatt	MCO-P-00-001 9081	
7590 08/03/2004		EXAMINER		
Patents+TMS			DIXON, THOMAS A	
A Professional	Corporation			
1914 N Milwaukee Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3rd Floor			3629	
Chicago, IL 60647				
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/544,508	WYATT, PHIL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas A. Dixon	3629				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	ddress			
- External control con	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  rreply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing  ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed  ) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this control.	ly. communication.			
Status	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma	av 2004.					
2a)⊠	·	action is non-final.					
3)	,		prosecution as to the	a manita ia			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	. 453 O.G. 213.	e ments is			
Disposit	ion of Claims	• • • •					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 and 14-19 is/are pending in the a	polication					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-13 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ididiawii iioni consideration.					
	Claim(s) 1-10 and 14-19 is/are rejected.						
	7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
	ion Papers	oloolion requirement.					
	•						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·					
'',	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pied or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
''/	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	iminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ , a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign р ☐ All  b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* s	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
3)   Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	450)			
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO	-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re	4.04	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail [	Date 072004			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Reconsideration was made of the Stanis et al reference after the interview and it is seen to disclose the matching feature claimed, see column 21, lines 29-34. Further, claim 14 does not include the same level of detail as claim 1 regarding medical condition of the patient, but merely patient data.
- 2. Claims Directed to an Apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, *In re Danly* 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 582, 531 (CCPA 1959).

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1657 (bd Pat. App. & Inter. 1987). Thus the structural limitations of claim 14-19, including a network, a database, an input means, means for searching, comparing, matching and retrieving are disclosed in Ohrn in view of Stennis et al as described herein. Also as described the limitations of the claim do not distinguish the claimed apparatus from the prior art.

Applicant's arguments regarding the existence of different wards in hospitals and placement of patients in different wards based on their medical needs is not persuasive, the method has traditionally been called triage. Further, Stannis discloses bed data that includes the nursing station, which indicate different wards, and searches and comparisons by the admitting office for beds with given characteristics, see column 21, lines 29-34.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,508

Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

4. Applicant's arguments regarding the status of the internet and websites as being old and well known at the time of applicant's invention are not convincing. The internet was old and well known by the April 2000 filing date, regardless, Bruno discloses the internet, see column 5, lines 34-56.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4-9, 14-17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Ohrn (6,356,874) in view of Stanis et al ('4,135,241).

As per Claim 1, 14.

Ohrn ('874) discloses:

providing a network, see column 7, lines 60-66 and figure 1;

providing a database connected to the computer network, see figure 1;

inputting bed availability information for a plurality of healthcare facilities, wherein each of the plurality of healthcare facilities have beds for providing a plurality of types of medical care and further wherein the bed availability information is input into the database and is accessible by the computer network, see column 6, lines 6-45, column 7, lines 60-66 and column 10, lines 22-30;

providing a first access to the database for determining the available bed for the patient by a user of the database, see column 6, lines 6-45 and column 21, lines 29-34,

searching the bed availability information for the plurality of healthcare facilities in the database, see column 5, lines 37-63;

determining the available bed based on user preferences, see column 5 lines 37-63.

Ohrn ('874) does not specifically disclose entering individual medical condition of a patient and searching, matching the bed to the condition.

Stanis et al ('241) teaches searching for availability of beds, including reserving beds, and the information about the bed, including data regarding the nursing station to which it is associated, and matching, see column 3, lines 14-25 and column 21, lines 29-34 for the benefit of managing the status of beds in a hospital.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to include matching patient conditions to available beds in the invention of Ohrn ('874) as taught by Stanis et al ('241) for the benefit of accurately placing patients in the correct ward.

As per Claim 11.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses searching the database for the bed availability information, see column 5, lines 37-63;

matching healthcare facility criteria with patient needs, see column 5, lines 37-41 and column 10, lines 22-30.

As per Claim 12.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses searching a database based on user entered criteria for the bed availability information, see column 5, lines 37-63.

Ohrn ('874) does not specifically disclose entering individual medical condition of a patient.

Stanis et al ('241) teaches entering medical information, see column 3, lines 14-25 and column 4, lines 23-36 for the benefit of managing the status of beds in a hospital.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to include a quantity of beds available in the invention of Ohrn ('874) as taught by Stanis et al ('241) for the benefit of managing the status of beds in a hospital.

As per Claim 13, 20.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses a means for searching, see column 5, 37-63.

As per Claim 2.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses providing the database on a network, see figure 1.

As per Claim 4.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses contacting one of the healthcare facilities after retrieving information about the healthcare facility, see column 10, lines 22-30 and column 5, lines 37-45.

As per Claim 5.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses providing a remote server storing the database, see figure 1.

As per Claim 6.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses providing a second access to the database wherein an extended care or a healthcare facility having beds enters the bed availability into the database via the second access, see column 5, lines 37-41, column 7, lines 44-50 and column 10, lines 22-30.

As per Claim 7, 19.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses an individual healthcare facility accesses the database to input the bed availability information for the individual healthcare facility, see column 5, lines 37-41, column 7, lines 44-50 and column 10, lines 22-30.

As per Claim 8, 15.

Ohrn ('874) does not specifically disclose bed availability includes a quantity of beds.

Stanis et al ('241) teaches a quantity of empty beds available, see column 7, line 43 – column 8, line 8 and column 3, lines 14-25 for the benefit of managing the status of beds in a hospital.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to include a quantity of beds available in the invention of Ohrn ('874) as taught by Stanis et al ('241) for the benefit of managing the status of beds in a hospital.

As per Claim 9, 16.

Ohrn ('874) does not specifically disclose bed availability includes types of beds available.

Stanis et al ('241) teaches a types of beds, see column 7, line 43 – column 8, line 8 and column 3, lines 14-25 for the benefit of managing the status of beds in a hospital.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to include a quantity of beds available in the invention of Ohrn ('874) as taught by Stanis et al ('241) for the benefit of managing the status of beds in a hospital.

As per Claim 17.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses the bed availability information includes a projection of expected availability of beds at a facility in a specified time frame, see column 5, lines 37-63.

7. Claims 3, 10, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohrn (6,356,874) in view of Stanis et al ('241) further in view of Bruno et al (6,289,088).

As per Claim 3.

Ohrn ('874) does not specifically disclose the network is the internet.

Bruno et al ('088) teaches use of the internet as a less expensive alternative to long distance service, see column 5, lines 34-56.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use the internet as a less expensive alternate network as taught by Bruno et al ('088) in the invention of Ohrn ('874).

As per Claim 10.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses an online form for accessing the database and inputting information, see figure 3.

Ohrn ('874) does not specifically disclose the network is the internet.

Bruno et al ('088) teaches use of the internet as a less expensive alternative to long distance service, see column 5, lines 34-56.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use the internet as a less expensive alternate network as taught by Bruno et al ('088) in the invention of Ohrn ('874).

As per Claim 18.

Ohrn ('874) further discloses an online form for accessing the database and inputting information, see figure 3.

Ohrn ('874) does not specifically disclose the network is the internet.

Bruno et al ('088) teaches use of the internet as a less expensive alternative to long distance service, see column 5, lines 34-56.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use the internet as a less expensive alternate network as taught by Bruno et al ('088) in the invention of Ohrn ('874).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Dixon whose telephone number is (703) 305-4645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Thomas A. Dixon **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3629

July 04